|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Equipment & Tooling Requirement Review (ERR) Form** | | | | | | |
| **Equipment Name** | **Smart conveyor with scale system** | | | | **Supplier** | **PROD Design** |
| **Equipment Drawing Number** | **E19594** | | **Revision** | **A** | **Design Status** | **New**  **Existing** |
| **Equipment Type** | **Equipment  Tooling**  **Fixturing  Gage  Other:** | | | | **ERR**  **Phase** | **Phase 1**  **Phase 2 Pre-design review**  **Phase 2 Post design review**  **Phase 3** |
| **Originator** | | | | | **Date Originated** | |
| **Omar Ivan Tovar** | | | | | **Feb 26, 2020** | |
| **Revision** | | **Change Description** | | | | |
| A | | Phase 2 Post design review | | | | |

**Phase 1.0 Planning**

Phase 1 of this ERR form shall be completed and released in EPIcenter prior to the issuance of a purchase order for tooling or equipment. Reference WE0179 work instructions for completing this form.

|  |
| --- |
| **P1.1 Objective of the Equipment or Tooling:** |
| Conveyor to transport sealing product to be packed by associates into sales unit boxes. In this equipment labels will be placed manually and scan from the sales unit box. When product is in box, labeled and scanned, it will be weighted in a scale to assure the correct quantity of product inside sales unit box. If weight is incorrect, equipment will reject product into a bin, if product complies, it will be passed to the next workstation through the conveyor for further process. |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **P1.2 Reference Documents** | | |
| All reference documents are identified in WE0179. These documents should be reviewed during initialization of any equipment design activities. Does the supplier have the latest revision of these reference documents?  YES  NO  If no, provide latest released revision to supplier.  List any additional Reference Documents or External Standards (Along with revision levels) that must be reviewed prior to starting  equipment design: | | |
| **Document Number** | **Document Name** | **Revision** |
| tbd | tbd | tbd |

|  |
| --- |
| **P1.3 Product Description** |
| Non-stick electrosurgical tips with polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) coating for low power setting use. |

|  |
| --- |
| **P1.4 Component / Sub-Assembly Identification and Status:** |
| Reference [Appendix A](#Appendix_A) for Part Name, Drawing Number and Revision Levels. |

|  |
| --- |
| **P1.5 Product Requirements** (explicit description of the product exclusive to the equipment within this requirement |
| Reference [Appendix B](#Appendix_B) for Product Requirements. Initial Revision of this ERR form to contain as much information as available. Subsequent revisions of this form shall include all known product requirements. |

|  |
| --- |
| **P1.6 Process Description** (explicit description of the process exclusive to the equipment within this requirement |
| Reference [Appendix C](#Appendix_C) for SIPOC. Initial Revision of this ERR form to contain at a minimum the Input and Output sections. |
|  |
| **P1.7 Product/Process Assumptions** |
| Provide a list of assumptions associated with the product and/or process that may change throughout the development of the equipment. In addition, specify how changes to these assumptions may impact the equipment development.  **NOTE**: Only preliminary design work and fabrication necessary to accommodate the contingencies below should be included in the quote as a part of phase 1. **Implementation** of the contingencies below **should not be included** in the quote as a part of phase 1. If implemented, the changes will be funded by a PO update or separate quote/PO.   |  |  | | --- | --- | | **Assumption** | **Potential Impact Change on Equipment Development** | | Label application and scan. | Auto label applier and auto packaging label scanner. | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **P1.8 Operational Requirements** | | | | | | | | | | |
|  | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Values Obtained From Project’s Supply Chain Strategy Workbook** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Year | Overall Demand | | | Targeted Equipment Capacity Load | | | | MAKE Site Targeted Supply | | |
| Year 1 | 5,112,796 | | | 4,090,236 | | | | 6,390,995 | | |
| Year 3 | 15,338,388 | | | 12,270,710 | | | | 19,172,985 | | |
| Year 5 | 25,563,980 | | | 20,451,184 | | | | 31,954,975 | | |
|  | | | | | | | | | | |
|  | | % Uptime / Availability | | | % Speed / Performance | | % Yield | | | % OEE |
| Percentage | | 83% | | | 85% | | 95% | | | 67% |
|  | | | | | | | | | | |
| Machine Cycle Time Per Part (Machine Start to Machine Stop)  *(seconds)* | | | tbd | | | Overall Machine Cycle Time Per Part (Operator Manual Work + Machine Cycle Time)  *(seconds)* | | | tbd | |

|  |
| --- |
| **P1.9 Assembly / Packaging Line Layout Provided?** |
| The line layout is intended to ensure that equipment/fixture access, cycle start button locations, built-in component part storage, automatic rejection of failures, etc. will be optimized for the intended assembly process.  Yes A simple assembly line layout to be provided in an electronic format as an attachment with approximate machine dimensions visible.  No Provide rationale: n/a  Direction of product flow to and from this station (operator facing station)?  Left to Right  Right to Left  N/A |
| Process Type?  Continuous Flow (Single Piece Flow)  Batch Process, what is projected batch size? |

|  |
| --- |
| **P1.10 Will existing equipment design/s be utilized?**  YES  NO If yes, read detail below |
| Has a detailed review of existing equipment performance, failure modes, and scrap been conducted?  YES  NO  Provide reference document to supplier: n/a  If the proposed equipment design differs from the existing equipment in any way, cite detail regarding the differences: n/a  Unique Software Layout?  Yes  No |

|  |
| --- |
| **P1.11 Equipment Type & Guarding** |
| Configuration:  Table Top  Free Standing/ dedicated Workstation Continuous Pallet System  Operator Interaction:  Sitting  Standing & Moving  Both Sitting or Standing & Moving *(reference CP0237)*  N/A  Preference of machine guarding for the operator  Light Curtain Guard Door  N/A  *Approach speed / guard location / machine hazards will determine final solution* |

|  |
| --- |
| **P1.12 Is a new Chassis required?**  YES  NO Existing chassis will be utilized. |
| Will a Common Chassis design be used as a starting point for the equipment design?  Yes  No If no, provide approximate size\_\_6’ x 42’\_\_\_\_ If yes, select appropriate Chassis design and provide current drawing revision.  E14792, Size A (32” Wide) w/ front light curtains  E14793, Size B (42” Wide) w/ front light curtains  E14794, Size C (75” Wide) w/ front light curtains  E15577, Size D (32” Wide) w/ front & side light curtains  E15578, Size E (42” Wide) w/ front & side light curtains  Other\_\_\_\_\_\_n/a\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |

|  |
| --- |
| **P1.13 Scrap/Reject Handling** |
| How will reject assemblies be handled?  Equipment Stoppage that requires password intervention (reserved for defects that could yield Class 0 classification per product material specification)  PLC linked Reject Chute or Bin (identification of device presence in scrap location before equipment will commence)  General Scrap Bin or similar  Other, explain: n/a |

|  |
| --- |
| **P1.14 Electro-Static Discharge (ESD) mitigation?**  YES  NO If yes, read detail below |
| Describe equipment provisions for mitigating ESD (reference WE0725): n/a |

|  |
| --- |
| **P1.15 Particulate Matter/Foreign Material Mitigation needed?**  YES  NO Read detail below |
| Could the part presentation generate, trap, or attract particulate matter (via part transfer from bulk containers to bins, etc.)?  YES  NO  Will execution of this assembly step generate particulate matter?  YES  NO  Could particulate matter be trapped in device or fluid path?  YES  NO  Provide Mitigation Plan based on above: n/a |

|  |
| --- |
| **P1.16 Safety and Ergonomic Requirements** |
| All new or altered J&J owned equipment shall have a safety review conducted by a representative of the Safety Department. This review should be conducted collectively with the engineer responsible for the equipment and an EHS&S representative.  Equipment design must fully comply with CP0237 Safety and Ergonomic Requirements, including (but not limited to) compliance with NFPA 70 and NFPA 79 requirements.  **Worldwide Risk Assessment Process Software (WRAPS)**  The Worldwide Risk Assessment Process Software (WRAPS) is the tool we at Johnson and Johnson use for documenting and tracking the risk assessment and risk reduction process. It is based on the Preliminary Hazard Analysis (PHA) methodology and provides a pictorial, easy-to-use method for the decision tree processes for risk estimations found in ISO14121 and EN 954-1. All equipment purchased for use in a Johnson and Johnson facility shall have a WRAPS assessment completed. WRAPS assessment is required prior to equipment acceptance and will be performed by Ethicon representatives.  The supplier of the equipment shall conduct a risk assessment according to the ISO13849 standard to meet the performance level and category architecture requirement of the equipment as agreed upon during design review. Reference CP0237 for the minimum required performance Level and Category architecture.  ISO13849 assessment report is required:  ISO13849 assessment report is not provided; rationale: EHS&S WRAP assessment will be executed in Phase III of this Equipment Requirement. |

|  |
| --- |
| P1.17 Are Setup Masters required?  YES  NO If YES, read detail below |
| Provide description of physical setup adjustments required:   |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | | **Set-up Master** | **Value** | **Limit** | | n/a | n/a | n/a |   Setup Masters are required to be stored / contained at machine. Elsewise, a cabinet for storing all line setup masters must also be included for line.  Setup Masters will be stored in the equipment.  Setup Masters will be stored in an auxiliary cabinet included with this ERR. |

|  |
| --- |
| P1.18 Are Changeover requirements needed?  YES  NO If YES, read detail below |
| Provide description of changeover methods required (rapid changeover, automated changeover, tooling or nest ID’s, etc):   |  |  | | --- | --- | | **Changeover Feature** | **Error proofing / method** | | n/a | n/a |   Changeover tooling to comply with Tooling Guidelines listed in WE0725?  YES  NO If NO, provide rationale: n/a  Changeover tooling shall utilize quick changeover methods wherever possible instead of screws/bolts. Changeover tooling/nests are required to be stored / contained at machine; else a cabinet for storing all line setup masters must also be included for line.  Change over tooling will be stored in the equipment.  Change over tooling will be stored in an auxiliary cabinet included with this ERR.  No Change over tooling required. (automated) |

|  |
| --- |
| **P1.19 Human/Machine Interface (HMI) / Controller**  YES  NO If yes, read detail below |
| Computer – Touch screen: Panel View – Touch screen: Panelview plus Size / Model (if preferred): 10”. Other (list): n/aVision display   YES    NOKeyboard & mouse drawer/ storage  YES    NO Preferred cycle activation method: OTB Interlocked door activation Automatic – MAS lines  All critical inputs or system variables are to be monitored by the PLC and visible on the HMI.  Equipment should aim to utilize robust programs and controllers that do not require license renewal plans.  An external Ethernet junction to the controller separate from the machine must be available so the PLC can be monitored, manipulated and verified independent of the equipment. |

|  |
| --- |
| **P1.20 HMI Screens programmed in English and Spanish**  YES  NO If NO, read detail below |
| All equipment expected to be located in a Mexican Assembly site is expected to have HMI interface screens in Spanish. If HMI interface not programmed in Spanish, explain: Not located in a Spanish speaking region.  Other rationale: n/a |
|  |
| P 1.21 Equipment Communication |
| Will the equipment require communication with any of the following systems? Please check all that apply.   |  | **Kiosk**  YES  NO | **MES**  YES  NO | **Proficient**  YES  NO | **TrakSys**  YES  NO | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | | Connection Requirements | Include ethernet port for kiosk connection | Include ethernet port for MES connection | Include RS232 port for proficient connection. | N/A | | Procurement | Procurement of the kiosk is covered in this ERR?  YES  NO  If NO, identify which ERR:  \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | Will the MES station be included in the frame of this equipment?  YES  NO  If NO, identify which ERR with MES station:  \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | N/A | Will the TrakSys OPC server be installed in this equipment by the MAKE site?  YES  NO – Line includes kiosk that will contain local OPC server  NO – Identify which ERR will contain the integrated OPC server:  \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | | Hardware Requirements | If procurement of the Kiosk is included in this ERR, will the kiosk connect with TrakSys OPC server?  YES  NO  N/A  If yes, include the following hardware (check all that apply):  Location for OPC server within kiosk  Additional ethernet port  Switch for monitor/keyboard to be shared between kiosk and local OPC server. | If the MES station is included, which of the following hardware will be integrated into the equipment (check all that apply):  Location for a computer/thin client  Mounting location for a monitor  Size:  Keyboard drawer/storage  Bar code reader and mount | What will be used to connect to proficient?  Individual computer  Kiosk. | If the line does not contain a kiosk, include the following hardware requirements:  Space for switch that connects to OPC server.  Space for an iPad | | If the OPC server will be installed on this equipment, include the additional hardware requirements (check all that apply):  Mounting location for a monitor  keyboard drawer/storage  Switch to share monitor/keyboard with existing hardware | | PLC Programming | N/A | N/A | N/A | Include a folder with tags related to:  Batch, Part Number/Product Code, Good Unit Counter, Bad Unit Counter, Total Production Count, Reject by Reason Counter (Scrap), Failure by Reason Counter (Equipment Failure), Cycle Time (Avg. last 10 cycles), Machine Status (Running, Stopped, Setup, Inactive) | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **P1.22 Data Collection Required?**  YES  NO If YES, read detail below | |
| PLC based  PC based  Other: n/a  What is the expected data output? | |
| **Data** | **Comments** |
| n/a | n/a |

|  |
| --- |
| P1.23 Software Development & Validation  YES  NO Read detail below. |
| Complete [Appendix E](#Appendix_E) for equipment utilizing software including off-the-shelf electronics containing software to determine the Software Validation Deliverables.  Rationale if selecting NO above:  No Software or electronics containing software used in equipment  Other rationale: n/a |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| P1.24 Factory Acceptance Testing | |
| Factory acceptance testing defines per engineering study (WE0020) the initial verification testing activities that shall be carried out at the supplier, with satisfactory results, before the equipment may be approved for shipment. Run off is a shared responsibility between the person responsible for the equipment and the supplier.  Year 3 Single Shift Sample Size\_\_\_tbd\_\_\_\_\_  FAT Sample Size Requirements   1. Total FAT Sample Size (Part Cycles + Dry Cycles) ≥ Yr 3 Single Shift Size    1. If Yr 3 Single Shift Size ≤ 200, then Total FAT Sample Size (Part Cycles + Dry Cycles) ≥ 200 2. Part Cycles ≥ ½ x (Yr 3 Single Shift Size)   Will the above sample size requirements be met?  YES  NO If no, provide explanation/engineering rationale:  If Equipment will run more than 1 product code, how many of each code will be included in the FAT? \_\_\_tbd\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  N/A  FAT Criteria for Success  Listed below are common criteria for success for a Factory Acceptance Test. Indicate those that will be evaluated and provide target metrics if applicable: | |
| Equipment Downtime \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  Equipment Cycle Time \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  Changeover Time \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  Product Defects (MS) \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  Other \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | First Pass Yield\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  Capability Analysis \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  Safety & Ergo (WRAPS) Evaluation \_\_\_\_\_X\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  Software Evaluation\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_X\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |

|  |
| --- |
| P1.25 Training Requirements |
| It is expected that a reasonable amount of training and equipment development support will be accommodated within the equipment quote provided by supplier. The training proposed shall be disclosed within the equipment quote.  Supplier to provide training on Equipment/Fixture Operation?  YES  NO If no, explain: n/a  Supplier to provide development support of Equipment/Fixture Operation?  YES  NO If no, explain: n/a |

|  |
| --- |
| P1.26 Supplier Production Line Start Up Support?  YES  NO If yes, read detail below |
| Minimum of 1 week of on-site support should be expected for any new line installation. Any phased install should allow for 1 week of on-site support during each phase.  Additional support can include remote support, conference calls, etc.  If applicable, specify the type of remote support software to be used for remote support of the equipment:  Scope of work and cost of this support to be included:  Part of Equipment Quote Separate Quote:  Provide more explanation as needed (individuals requested, response time, conditions under which said support will be supplier’s financial responsibility, etc.): n/a |

|  |
| --- |
| P1.27 Supplier provided Shipment of Equipment?  YES  NO Read detail below |
| Ship Equipment to:  Cincinnati: Ethicon Endo-Surgery, Inc. 4545 Creek Road, Cincinnati, OH, 45242, attention:  Albuquerque: Ethicon Endo-Surgery, 3801 University Blvd, S.E., Albuquerque, NM, 87106, attention:  Torres: Ethicon Endo-Surgery, (send to JVSF 420 Pan American Dr Suite-B-6, El Paso, Texas, 79907), attention:  Independencia: Ethicon Endo-Surgery, (send to JVSF 420 Pan American Dr Suite-B-6, El Paso, Texas, 79907), attention: Javier Diaz/Omar Tovar  Other (please specify): n/a  Provide unique shipping requirements as needed: n/a |

|  |
| --- |
| P1.28 Supplier Provided Crating, Packaging, Cert of Origin, Photography, Uncrating and Installation? |
| Equipment must be crated for shipment using Heat Treated Crates/Pallets/Solid wood (reference WE0576).  Supplier to provide crating and packaging?  YES  NO, explain how equipment is prepared for shipment:  Per WE0373, in addition to including FMWE0376.1 with the equipment to be shipped, certificates of origin and photography must be included for all articles being shipped:  Supplier to provide nafta certificate of origin for all articles being shipped? (reference WE001160)  YES  NO  Supplier to provide photography of all articles being shipped? (reference WE0373)  YES  NO  Supplier to provide uncrating and installation at final make site?  YES  NO  All Equipment shall be packed, crated, skidded or otherwise secured to assure acceptance by common Carrier for safe transportation. All loose boxes shall be clearly marked and consolidated onto pallets or into larger boxes. The Equipment shall be preserved according to best commercial practice and adequately protected from physical damage, contamination and corrosion during transit. |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| P1.29 Project Schedule | | |
| Supplier is responsible for creating, maintaining, and providing a project schedule.  Below are the minimum elements to be included in the Equipment Project Schedule (With requested Completion Dates):  Indicate the requested Project Update frequency  Weekly  Bi-Weekly  Monthly  At Schedule Milestones  Other, explain: n/a  \*Note – Weekly meetings are encouraged to maintain communication. Frequency may be updated depending on stage, state and urgency of project. | | |
| P1.30 Major Project Milestones | | |
| **Milestone** | **Target Completion Date** | **Owner** |
| **Quote Due Date** | Jul 2019 | Supplier |
| **PO Issuance** | Jul 2019 | Ethicon |
| **Product Design Freeze** | Sep 2019 | Ethicon |
| **Acceptance Test Complete** | n/a | Supplier / Ethicon |
| **Equipment Transfer to Mfg Site** | Dec 2019 | Supplier / Ethicon |

|  |
| --- |
| P1.31 Equipment Design Change Control |
| The cost and time impact of any significant requested project scope changes should be disclosed before implementing the change. All changes must be agreed upon by both Ethicon and the Supplier. It is recommended to capture these changes in revisions of this document.  To address significant cost impacts, Purchase Order update/s or new Purchase Order issuance should be considered. The associated ETHICON Buyer/Planner and PM Product Manager should be made aware of the cost impacts in a timely manner.  All software changes after the validation and software upload to Epicenter shall require change control administrated via CP0150. The software is validated exclusively in the state defined within the associated software validation. |

|  |
| --- |
| P1.32 Warranty Scope and Duration |
| Subject to the Warranty terms set forth below, Seller warrants that the products furnished hereunder will be free from defects for a period of one year from the date of manufacture and will be produced in accordance with the specifications received from the buyer as defined in the equipment requirements document and in accordance with the terms and conditions prescribed in the purchase order.  Any items, materials or software which fails to perform as required by this specification or deteriorate excessively shall be considered defective under this warranty.  For purposes of warranty duration, the date of manufacture shall be defined as the date of the final acceptance of the equipment as agreed to and documented by both the equipment supplier and the individual responsible for development of this equipment. |

|  |
| --- |
| **P1.33 Warranty Obligation** |
| SELLER will repair or replace any product that is found to be defective; including materials, workmanship, or failure to conform to specifications received from Buyer. Duration is one year from manufacture, with the following limitations and conditions (“Warranty”):   * 1. Written notice of a claim must be delivered to Seller within thirty (30) days of defect discovery. If not received within thirty (30) days, claim shall be deemed waived by the Buyer and in every case this written notice must be provided within one (1) year from the date of manufacture of the product.   2. Seller must be given a reasonable opportunity to investigate the product and claimed defect.  Buyer will preserve all products for a reasonable time to permit proper testing and investigation.   3. Final determination as to whether or not a product is defective rests with Seller.  Prior to returning any product to Seller, approval must first be obtained from Seller.  If the product was damaged in transit to Seller, the claim must be filed with the carrier.   4. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary herein, all parts and materials purchased by Seller from a manufacturer, seller or supplier and subsequently incorporated into a system, piece of equipment or tooling will carry the manufacturer’s standard warranty.  Seller assigns to the Buyer all rights and claims acquired against seller, supplier or manufacturers of said parts and materials. Buyer shall have no rights for warranty or any other claims against Seller for any such parts or materials.   5. THIS WARRANTY DOES NOT COVER LOSS, DAMAGE OR DEFECTS RESULTING FROM IMPROPER OR INADEQUATE USE BY THE BUYER OR UNAUTHORIZED MODIFICATION OR MISUSE OF THE PRODUCTS. The Buyer has the obligation to maintain and operate the provided System, piece of Equipment or Tooling in accordance with Seller recommendations.  Seller recommended and other reasonable periodic maintenance shall be appropriately completed by qualified technicians.  Failure to meet the forgoing will result in the Warranty being rendered null and void.   6. With approval from Seller, ETHICON may perform emergency repairs without voiding the warranty. In the case of emergency repairs, the Seller shall be responsible only for the cost of materials and purchased parts used in the repair.   7. Software for a system or piece of equipment shall be warranted for a period of (90) ninety days from final Buyer acceptance.  Software changes will require agreement between buyer and seller during the warranty period. |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **P1.34 Recommended Payment Milestones** | | | | | | | |
| Recommended supplier invoice schedule, upon completion of the Project Milestones listed below, as described in the purchase order in accordance with WW330 (Policy on Funds Advanced to Third Parties): | | | | | | | |
| **Milestone** | | | **Milestone Description** | | | | |
| **1** | | | Invoiced Upon P.O. Issuance | | | | |
| **2** | | | Invoiced Upon Final Design Approval (Phase 2 ERR approved) / Begin Shop Fabrication | | | | |
| **3** | | | Invoiced after successful FAT | | | | |
| **4** | | | Receive / Installation complete and equipment ready for startup | | | | |
| **5** | | | Invoiced after successful Site Acceptance | | | | |
| **6** | | | Invoiced upon completion of Equipment Documentation (Drawings, Software, Manuals, etc.) | | | | |
|  | | | | | | | |
| **P1.35 Equipment Kickoff Meeting** | | | | | | | |
| Equipment Kickoff Meeting  The equipment kickoff meeting shall be completed after Phase 1 of the ERR is filled out by the Ethicon Equipment/Development Engineer, but prior to quote issuance from the supplier. The purpose of this meeting is for Ethicon and the Supplier to align on requirements/specifications defined in Phase 1, such that the equipment can be properly quoted.  Equipment Kickoff Meeting to be held prior to quote issuance?  YES  NO If no, explain: | | | | | | | |
| **dglxasset[1]** | **Once Phase I is completed, Upload the following documents to EPIcenter. If additional information is available at this time proceed to Phase II prior to quoting.** | | | | | | | |
| **P1.36 Equipment Development Documentation Table** | | | | | | |
| **The following documentation is attached to this ERR per identification below.** (Insert “N/A” if not applicable.) | | | | | | |
| **Attachment ID** | | **Form Number** | | **Description** | | |
| N/A | | N/A | | Assembly Line Layout | | |
|  |  | | | | | | | |
| **P1.37 Phase I Approval (Indicate approval status of this revision)**  (Reference WE0179 Appendix I P1.37 for Phase I Approval Matrix) | | | | | | | | |
| **Prerequisite for PO kickoff** | | | | | | | | |
| Due to the maturity of the equipment requirements, Phase 2 of this ERR has also been completed. Signature matrix in section P2.21 is required for this revision of the ERR. This ERR will be revised after the Equipment Design Review and the appropriate supporting documentation uploaded to EPIcenter. N/A the Name and User ID blanks below except for capital owner, if required in addition to P2.21 signatures. | | | | | | | | |
| **Function** | | | | | **Name** | **User I.D.** | **Signature/Date** | |
| n/a | | | | | n/a | n/a | eSig in EPIcenter | |

**Phase 2.0 Design and Fabrication**

Phase 2 of this ERR shall be completed after the equipment design review has been conducted with the supplier as indicated by selecting “Phase 2 Post Design Review” in the ERR Phase title block of this document. If ERR Phase in the tile block of this document is indicated as “Phase 2 Pre-Design Review”, complete sections P1- P2-20.

|  |
| --- |
| **P2.1 Component / Sub-Assembly Identification and Status:**   Updated  No Change |
| See [Appendix A](#Appendix_A) for Part Name, Drawing Number and Revision Levels. Any additions or modifications since the initial revision of this ERR shall be documented in Appendix A. |

|  |
| --- |
| **P2.2 Product Requirements** (description of the process exclusive to the equipment within this requirement)  Updated  No Change |
| See [Appendix B](#Appendix_B) for Product Requirements. Any additions or modifications to the product requirements since the initial revision of this ERR shall be documented in Appendix B. |

|  |
| --- |
| **P2.3 Process Description** (description of the process exclusive to the equipment within this requirement)  Updated  No Change |
| See [Appendix C](#Appendix_C) for SIPOC. If not already, all sections of SIPOC should be filled out during this phase. Any additions or modifications to the SIPOC since the initial revision of this ERR shall be documented in Section C1.1 of Appendix C. |
|  |
| **P2.4 Product/Process Assumptions**  Updated  No Change |
| Any modifications to the product/process assumptions since the initial revision of this ERR shall be documented in section P1.7. |
|  |
| **2.5 Operational Requirements**   Updated  No Change |
| Any modifications to the operational requirements since the initial revision of this ERR shall be documented in section P1.8 |
| **2.6 Assembly / Packaging Line Layout**  Updated  No Change |
| Any modifications to the line layout since the initial revision of this ERR shall be documented in section P1.9 and a new line layout shall be attached. |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **P2.7 Process Failure Modes Effects Analysis (PFMEA)** | | | | | | |
| A PFMEA is intended to communicate the risks identified and associated with this operation or previous operations for which the equipment is designed to mitigate. As failure modes are encountered during the development of the equipment and process, it is recommended to update the PFMEA and corresponding equipment design inputs. Appropriate mitigations should be agreed to between the responsible engineer and the equipment designer during the equipment development.  A PFMEA is available for review and to be provided with this ERR. | | | | | | |
| **PFMEA Document #** | | **Revision (if draft, indicate draft date)** | | | **Product code(s) in scope of the PFMEA** | |
| RMD000954 | | tbd | | | tbd | |
| A preliminary PFMEA is not available for this revision. Below are the potential process failures modes identified, the effect of the failures on the device, the harm severity level, and suggested mitigations in order to manage the risk at this at this process. | | | | | | |
| **Potential Failure Mode** | **Process Cause** | | **Effect of the failure on the device in process.** | **Harm Severity Level** | | **Suggested Mitigation/s** |
| n/a | n/a | | n/a | n/a | | n/a |
| N/A – This equipment is not intended to mitigate any known PFMEA risks. | | | | | | |

|  |
| --- |
| **P2.8 Equipment Failure Mode and Effects Analysis** |
| Per section P2.7 PFMEA when the equipment is responsible for detection harm Severity S5, S4, S3 there shall be an EFMEA performed by the supplier and responsible engineer (guidance template FRM003587, or similar may be used for this analysis).  Is an E-FMEA required?  YES – Reference WE0179, WE0222 & FRM003587. The analysis may lead to additional controls needing to be put in place and/or a management review if risk remains high after equipment design efforts have been exhausted.  NO – This equipment is not responsible for detection of (Severity S5, S4, S3) PFMEA failure modes.  NO – Other rationale: controls installed downstream flow.  TBD – To be determined at a follow-up revision when preliminary PFMEA is available. |

|  |
| --- |
| **P2.9 Control Plan** |
| A Control Plan is intended to summarize the systems used in minimizing and controlling process variation to the appropriate level of control with the level of risk to the end user or patient. As process controls are defined during the development of the equipment and process it is recommended to update the control plan and corresponding equipment design. Appropriate controls should be agreed upon between the engineer responsible for the equipment, quality, and the equipment supplier during the equipment development.  A Control Plan is available for review and to be provided with this ERR. (Reference FRM000486)   |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | | **Control Plan Document #** | **Revision (if draft, indicate draft date)** | **Product code(s) in scope of the CP** | | PR001154 | tbd | See Appendix A |   This equipment is for a new process under development and no assembly control plan is available for review.  The control plan **is** anticipated to be available for review within the timeframe of the equipment development, once the process has matured. The systems used in minimizing and controlling process variation as known for this revision of the ERR are shown in the table below.  The control plan **is not** anticipated to be available for review within the timeframe of the equipment development. The method utilized to ensure all necessary checks/inspections have been captured within the equipment requirements is as follows:   |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | | **Critical Requirement** | **Product/Process Specification** | **Potential Control Method** | **Frequency** | | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |   This equipment does not have a requirement for process control. |

|  |
| --- |
| **P2.10 Gages / Calibration**  Appendix D completed |
| Reference [Appendix D](#Appendix_D) for Gages and Calibration. Identify for each measurement the type of gage utilized, the expected calibration range, and status. Equipment shall be intended to use normalized or standard methods of calibration with available off the shelf measurement methods whenever possible. |

|  |
| --- |
| **P2.11 Gage R&R studies**  Appendix D completed |
| Reference [Appendix D](#Appendix_D) for Gage R&R strategy. Identify the strategy for each gage R&R study to be performed. |

|  |
| --- |
| **P2.12 Gage Compatibility**  Appendix D completed |
| Reference [Appendix D](#Appendix_D) for Gage compatibility requirements. |

|  |
| --- |
| **P2.13 Equipment Reliability**  Appendix D completed |
| Reference [Appendix D](#Appendix_D) for Equipment Reliability requirements. |

|  |
| --- |
| **P2.14 Special Utilities required?**  Yes  No If yes, read detail below |
| Reference WE0725 for additional information regarding expected design standards by assembly facility. Standard utilities for equipment operation are expected to be provided by supplier. Specific, customer required, utilities should be explained below:   |  |  | | --- | --- | | **Utility** | **Details** | | n/a | n/a | |

|  |
| --- |
| **P2.15 Ethicon Provided Materials required?**  Yes  No If yes, read detail below |
| Describe the provided equipment needed and intentions for documentation (separate E#, separate G#, detailed within proposed Equipment drawing):  See Appendix A. |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **P2.16 Recommended Software Modes** | | |
| **Modes** | **Required**  **(Y/N)** | **Detail** |
| **Auto Mode** | Y | Encoder controlled conveyor to transport product through workstation. Conveyor will scan (manually by associate) to verify label placement into box. A scale in the conveyor will verify the weight of box to assure box contains all defined components. If weight does not comply with product code, conveyor will reject the box. If weight complies, the conveyor will move product to next workstation. |
| **Manual Mode** | Y | Capability to move independently systems that conforms the conveyor workstation. |
| **Setup Mode** | N | n/a |
| **More** | N | n/a |
| **Variable Input Mode** | N | n/a |
| **Dry Cycle Mode** | N | n/a |
| **FGQA Run Mode** | N | n/a |
| **GRR Mode** | N | n/a |
| **Calibration Mode** | N | n/a |
| **Preventative Maintenance Mode** | N | n/a |
| **Purge Mode** | N | n/a |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| P2.17 Major Project Milestones | | | |
| **Milestone** | **Expectation** | **Target Completion Date** | **Owner** |
| **Device / Component Models & Drawings Available** | N/A | n/a | Ethicon |
| **Equipment FMEA (E-FMEA), if applicable** | FRM003587 or similar (when applicable) | n/a | Supplier |
| **Equipment Design Review** | FRM003588 (when applicable) | Feb 2020 | Ethicon |
| **Software Design Review** | Software Design Review Checklist from FRM003589 (when applicable) | n/a | Supplier/Ethicon |
| **Equipment Fabrication Completion / First Article testing** | N/A | n/a | Supplier/Ethicon |
| **Equipment Characterization** | Engineering Study (FMWE0020.1) | n/a | Ethicon |
| **Acceptance Test Complete** | Engineering Study (FMWE0020.1) | Tbd | Ethicon |
| **Equipment Transfer to Mfg Site** | N/A | Dec 2019 | Supplier/Ethicon |
| **Equipment Drawings & Models** | G10011 | Mar 2020 | Supplier |
| **Other Equipment Documentation (Manuals, Spare Parts, etc.)** | FRM003591 | Mar 2020 | Supplier/Ethicon |

|  |
| --- |
| **P2.18 Equipment Design Review**  Completed  Phase 2 Pre-design review |
| Equipment design review shall be completed prior to the post design review revision of the ERR. Design Review Checklist FRM003588 shall be completed and uploaded to EPIcenter. |

|  |
| --- |
| P2.19 Software Development & Validation Read detail below.  Updated  No Change |
| Review [Appendix E](#Appendix_E)  to confirm the information. (For example, change in equipment software complexity or risk detection profile). |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | | | |
| **dglxasset[1]** | **Once Phase II is completed, Upload the following documents to EPIcenter.** | | | |
| **P2.20 Equipment Development Documentation Table** | | | |
| **The following documentation is attached to this ERR per identification below.** (Insert “N/A” if not applicable.) | | | |
| **Attachment ID** | | **Form Number** | **Description** |
| Attachment I | | N/A | Assembly Line Layout |
| n/a | | FMWE0222.4 | Applicable Lines of Process FMEA (if shared via attachment, otherwise “N/A”) |
| n/a | | FRM003587 or similar. | Equipment FMEA |
| n/a | | FRM000486 | Applicable Lines of Control Plan (if shared via attachment, otherwise “N/A”) |
| Attachment II | | FRM003588 | Equipment Design Review Checklist |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **P2.21 Phase 2 Approval (Indicate approval status of this revision)**  **(**Reference WE0179 Appendix I P2.21 for Approval Matrix.) | | | |
| **Prerequisite for equipment fabrication** | | | |
| Phase 1& 2 approval prior to Equipment Design Review. ERR shall be revised after Equipment Design Review to include all attachments in P2.20 as indicated.  Phase 2 approval after Equipment Design Review and all documents in P2.20 uploaded to EPIcenter. | | | |
| **Function** | **Name** | **User I.D.** | **Signature/Date** |
| Individual Responsible for the Equipment | Omar Ivan Tovar | Otovar | eSig in EPIcenter |
| PM Manager | Rafael Palma | RPalma | eSig in EPIcenter |
| Quality Engineer | Victor Cantu | VCantusi | eSig in EPIcenter |
| MEST Equipment Engineer | Javier Diaz | JDiaz24 | eSig in EPIcenter |
| NPI Manufacturing Engineer | Adan Jimenez | AJimen16 | eSig in EPIcenter |
| Equipment Supplier Project Manager (Non-Ethicon) | n/a | n/a | n/a |

**Appendix A Component / Sub Assembly Identification and Status**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **A1.1 Component / Sub-Assembly Identification and Status:** | | | | |
| **Part Name** | **Drawing number** | **Drawing Rev. (if applicable)** | **Image of Part** | **Comments** |
| 0028 | tbd | tbd | tbd | tbd |
| 0028M | tbd | tbd | tbd | tbd |
| 0029M | tbd | tbd | tbd | tbd |
| 0118 | tbd | tbd | tbd | tbd |
| 0118A | tbd | tbd | tbd | tbd |
| 0119 | tbd | tbd | tbd | tbd |
| 0119A | tbd | tbd | tbd | tbd |
| 0120 | tbd | tbd | tbd | tbd |
| 0121 | tbd | tbd | tbd | tbd |
| 0012AP | tbd | tbd | tbd | tbd |
| 0012AMP | tbd | tbd | tbd | tbd |
| 0014AP | tbd | tbd | tbd | tbd |
| 0014AMP | tbd | tbd | tbd | tbd |
| 0012MD | tbd | tbd | tbd | tbd |
| 0012AMD | tbd | tbd | tbd | tbd |
| 0014AMD | tbd | tbd | tbd | tbd |
| 0014MD | tbd | tbd | tbd | tbd |
| 0013MD | tbd | tbd | tbd | tbd |
| 0019L | tbd | tbd | tbd | tbd |
| 0019LS | tbd | tbd | tbd | tbd |
| 0020L | tbd | tbd | tbd | tbd |
| 0020LS | tbd | tbd | tbd | tbd |
| 0021L | tbd | tbd | tbd | tbd |
| 0021LS | tbd | tbd | tbd | tbd |
| 0100L | tbd | tbd | tbd | tbd |
| 0100LS | tbd | tbd | tbd | tbd |
| 0410 | tbd | tbd | tbd | tbd |
| 0420 | tbd | tbd | tbd | tbd |
| 0430 | tbd | tbd | tbd | tbd |
| 0440 | tbd | tbd | tbd | tbd |
| 0450 | tbd | tbd | tbd | tbd |
| 0460 | tbd | tbd | tbd | tbd |
| 0470 | tbd | tbd | tbd | tbd |
| 0480 | tbd | tbd | tbd | tbd |
| 0490 | tbd | tbd | tbd | tbd |
| Method of component revision control / notification: n/a | | | | |

**Appendix B Product Requirements**

|  |
| --- |
| **B1.1 Product Requirements** |
| State product requirements relevant to the equipment design. Reference WE0724 for the procedure that identifies and describes the cascade of product requirement levels.  Note:PLR=Product Level Requirement, SLR=System Level Requirement, SSR=Sub-System Level Requirement, SAR=Sub-Assembly Requirement, APR = Assembly Process Requirement |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Design Requirements Workbook Document #** | **Revision (if draft, indicate draft date)** | **Product code(s) in scope of the workbook** | **Location of Relevant Requirements** |
| **n/a** | **n/a** | **n/a** | Included with ERR Package.  included in table below  Other:\_\_\_\_\_n/a\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  N/A – There are no specific product requirements applicable to this station. Refer to part drawings and SIPOC for the relevant information to equipment development. |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | | | | |
| *Requirement 1:* | | | | |
| **Requirement Name** | **Requirement** | **Requirement Number** | **Product Code(s)** | **Defect Class** |
| n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |

**Appendix C SIPOC**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **C1.1 Process Description -** SIPOC Diagram of proposed process (explicit description of the process exclusive to the equipment within this requirement | |
| **SUPPLIERS**  (RESOURCE PROVIDER) | * Multivac Sealer. |
| **INPUT**  (INPUT TO THE PROCESS) | * Sealed coated blades. * Sales unit boxes. * Printed labels. |
| **PROCESS**  (PROCESS STEPS) | * Sealed coated blades are placed in lower level conveyor. * Associate places sealed coated blades and IFU into corresponding sales unit box. * Corresponding label, previously printed in zebra printer, is dispensed by label dispenser. * Associate places corresponding label into sales unit box. * Associate scans label previously placed into sales unit box. * If information in label is correct according to scanner, sales unit box is placed into upper level conveyor. If information in label is incorrect, product and IFU is taken out of the sales unit box and repacked into a new labeled sales unit box. * Scanned sales unit box moves into a scale to be weighted and confirms components inside sales unit are complete. If incomplete, sales unit box wont weight correctly and equipment will place rejected box into bin. If weight is correct, conveyor will move product into next workstation. |
| **OUTPUT**  (OUTPUT FROM THE PROCESS) | * Labeled Sales unit box with correct product quantity. |
| **CUSTOMER**  (RECEIVE OUTPUT FROM THE PROCESS) | * Pack Out Equipment with Scale System (RSC Area). |

**Appendix D Gages**

|  |
| --- |
| **D1.1 Gages / Calibration** |
| Identify for each measurement the type of gage utilized, the expected calibration range, and status.   |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | | **Requirement Number** | **Measurement Description** | **Type of Gage** | **Calibration Range** | **Critical / Non-Critical / Exempt** | |  |  |  |  |  | |  |  |  |  |  |   Refer to CP0190 and FMCP0190.1 for further details on gage classification for Critical / Non-critical / Exempt.  Calibration(s) are to be conducted within the equipment as a system (measurement device & signal conditioner). Equipment design must include provisions for calibration for jigs, weights, transducers etc.  Document any deviations: |
| **D1.2 Gage R&R study/s required?** |
| If the equipment under development is a gage (leak tester, torque tester, etc.) or performs post-operation measurements (inspecting the location of a component or feature after performing a gluing operation, etc.), a GR&R shall be performed prior to shipment of equipment on an appropriate ETHICON form or supplier form validated to meet the intent of WE0419.  YES – Gage R&R study is required.  Is supplier responsible for performing the preliminary GR&R Studies?  YES  NO   |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | | **Characteristic** | **Type of GR&R** | **Process Limits** | **Acceptance Criteria (min)** | | Product weight | Attribute | tbd | tbd |   Type of GR&R:**VPT** *– Variable %P/T ,* **VRR** *– Variable %R&R,* **A** *– Attribute,* **D** *– Destructive*  NO – This equipment is not a gage and does not perform post-operation measurements.  NO – This equipment is a gage but only monitors equipment inputs and settings (no component features/outputs). |
| **D1.3 Gage Compatibility/s required?** |
| Gage Compatibility Studies are required when building a duplicate of an existing piece of equipment or multiples of the same equipment.  Gage compatibility studies shall be performed prior to shipment of equipment on an appropriate ETHICON form or supplier form validated to meet the intent of WE0419.  YES – Gage Compatibility study is required.  Is supplier responsible for performing the preliminary Gage Compatibility Studies?  YES  NO   |  |  | | --- | --- | | **Characteristic** | **Acceptance Criteria (statistical difference or acceptance range)** | | n/a | n/a |   NO – This equipment is not a gage and does not perform post-operation measurements.  NO – This equipment is not a duplicate of another piece of equipment or multiple copy of the same equipment; it is unique to  the intended product stream.  NO – Other rationale: |
| **D1.4 Equipment Reliability required?** |
| YES – Equipment reliability study is required.  Is supplier responsible for performing the preliminary Process Reliability Study?  YES  NO  List below the individual characteristic(s) that can be assessed and requirements for acceptance. The target should be based on the level of risk associated with the failure of the requirement and agreed upon with quality engineering; refer to the product quality plan, CP0030, and/or CP0198 for acceptance criteria guidance.   |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | | **Characteristic** | **Dimension + tolerance** (include units) | **Minimum Requirement** | **# of pieces required for study** | | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |   If reliability assessments do not present satisfactory results, a root cause analysis shall be conducted to determine whether or not the equipment or the components are the key contributors.  NO – The equipment does not produce critical or measurable key output characteristics.  NO – Other rationale: n/a |

**Appendix E Software Development and Validation**

|  |
| --- |
| **E1.1 Software Development & Review of Validation Deliverables** |
| If no software or electronics containing software are used in this equipment, check here:  Appendix E not required  **Software Validation Type (Type 1 or 2)** – **Reference WE0179 (section 4.6.1) for guidance.**   |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | |  | | **Risk Detection Profile (see WE0179 section 4.4.3)** | | | High | Low | | **Software Complexity Category (see WE0179 section 4.4.4)** | A | Type 1 | Type 1 | | B | Type 1 | Type 1 | | C | Type 2 | Type 1 | |
| **Software Validation Deliverables** – Use the highest number Software Validation Type to determine the Software Validation Deliverables. Reference WE0179 Appendix I for explanation of the Equipment Software Validation Deliverables. For further clarification, reference WE0179 Appendix VI for a flowchart of software validation activities and deliverables per validation type.   |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | | **Equipment Software Validation Deliverables** | | **Responsibility** | **Type 1** | **Type 2** | | Equipment Software Requirements Review worksheet capturing equipment software requirements & software design description data: FRM003589 (ESRR).  Software Design Review / Checklist (part of ESRR FRM003589) | | Supplier | NOT Required | Required | | Software Validation Protocol FMWE0020.7 | Software Requirements & Traceability Matrix (FMWE0020.7 Appendix 1, created from FRM003589) | Supplier | Required | Required | | Software Design Description (FMWE0020.7 Appendix 2, created from FRM003589) | Supplier | Required | Required | | Software Verification Test Cases (FMWE0020.7 Appendix 3) | Supplier | Required | Required | | 21 CFR Part 11 Assessment Filter (FMWE0020.7 Appendix 4) | Ethicon | Required | Required | | Software Validation Completion Report FMWE0020.3 | | Ethicon | Required | Required | |